UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,099	08/11/2008	Reidar Schumann-Olsen	P18144-US1	2578
27045 ERICSSON IN	7590 03/24/201 C.	EXAMINER		
6300 LEGACY		LEE, CHI HO A		
	M/S EVR 1-C-11 PLANO, TX 75024		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2472	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/595,099	SCHUMANN-OLSEN, REIDAR		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Andrew Lee	2472		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 F 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 19-32 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 19,20,23-27 and 30-32 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) 21,22,28 and 29 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. d. or election requirement. er. eepted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seetion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the Edrawing(s) is objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ranniner. Note the attached Office	Action of ionit F10-132.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/3/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/595,099 Page 2

Art Unit: 2472

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 20 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 20 and 27, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 19, 23- are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson PG-PUB 20030219019.

Re Claims 19, 26, Wilson teaches a transmitter must align the transmission of the IMA frames on all links (a number of transmission lines) to allow the receiver to adjust for any differential link delays on any of the links; the receiver measures any differential delay by waiting for the IMA frames on each link using the ICP cells (a time compensating bit) [0016]. Wilson fails to explicitly teach the G.704 FAS multi-frame.

However, one skilled in the art would have been motivated to use known ITU frame structure that is available for implementation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled to use a known G.704 to frame the ATM cells.

Re claims 23, 24, 30, 31, refer to claim 19, wherein the G.704 supports 125us frame period.

Re Claims 25, 32, refer to claim 19, IMA supports TDM bonding at the multiplexer.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 21, 22, 28, 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In combination with claims 19 and 21; 26 and 28, prior art fails to teach using the4 spare bits as link identification bits to compensate for pair crossing.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-3130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,099 Page 4

Art Unit: 2472

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew Lee/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2472